Application of hyperspherical harmonics expansion method to the low-lying bound S-states of exotic two-muon three-body systems.

MD. ABDUL KHAN
Assistant Professor, Department of Physics, Aliah University,
Maulana Abul Kalam Azad Bhavan,
DD-45, Sector-I, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700064, India
Email: drakhan.rsm.phys@gmal.com; drakhan.phys@aliah.ac.in

arXiv:1406.4953v4 [physics.atom-ph] 10 Jul 2014

Abstract

Energies of the low-lying bound S-states (L=0) of exotic three-body systems, consisting a nuclear core of charge +Ze (Z being atomic number of the core) and two negatively charged valence muons, have been calculated by hyperspherical harmonics expansion method (HHEM). The three-body Schrődinger equation is solved assuming purely Coulomb interaction among the binary pairs of the three-body systems $X^{Z+}\mu^-\mu^-$ for Z=1 to 54. Convergence pattern of the energies have been checked with respect to the increasing number of partial waves Λ_{max}. For available computer facilities, calculations are feasible up to $\Lambda_{max} = 28$ partial waves, however, calculation for still higher partial waves have been achieved through an appropriate extrapolation scheme. The dependence of bound state energies has been checked against increasing nuclear charge Z and finally, the calculated energies have been compared with the ones of the literature.

Keywords: Raynal Revai Coefficient, Hyperspherical Harmonics, Hyperspherical Harmonics Expansion Method, Renormalized Numerov Method.
PACS: 02.70.-c, 31.15.-Ar, 31.15.Ja, 36.10.Ee.

I Introduction

Exotic few-body systems, consisting electrons, muons, protons, deuteron etc. and their antimatters are becoming more and more significant in atomic spectroscopy, quantum electrodynamics and astrophysics [1-2]. Structural properties of such few-body systems can be investigated in terms of few-body problems involving Coulomb forces. And these few-body Coulomb problems have long research history in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Starting with few-charged particle systems during the early stages of quantum mechanics [3] such problems pose significant fundamental theoretical and practical importance in atomic-molecular and nuclear-particle physics. As the exotic particles are usually unstable, the atoms (or ions) which they constitute are also very short lived. In practice, atoms of this kind can be formed by stopping accelerated exotic particles in matter. The stopped particles replace one or more electron(s) in an ordinary atom. The first orbit of the exotic particle(s) after capture is very similar in size to that of the electron(s) before ejection. Afterward, it cascades down the ladder of exotic-atom states by x-ray and Auger transitions. If the exotic particle is a negative muon, it passes through various environments before its death in the vicinity of an atomic nucleus [4]. In the early stages it scatters from atom to atom as free electron and gradually gives off its energy until it is captured into an atomic orbit. When it reaches the lowest energy level (1s), it experiences only the Coulomb interaction with the protons in the nucleus and weak interaction with rest of the nucleons. In the case of the hadrons (such as the pion, kaon, or anti-proton) the cascade ends earlier for all exotic atoms except those with atomic number 1 or 2, due to nuclear absorption or annihilation of the particle by the short-range strong interaction. Since exotic particles (except positron) are all much heavier than the electron, they are more strongly bound to the nucleus than electrons, and their

transitions during the de-excitation are much more energetic than those of electrons. In addition, exotic particles may come much closer to the nucleus than the electrons in an ordinary atom. The exotic atoms (or ions) are obtained when one or more of the sub-atomic particles of neutral atom are replaced by one or more exotic particles like muon, pion, kaon, anti-proton etc of the same charge [5]. The most studied exotic few-body Coulomb system are the muonic atoms or ions which are formed by removing one or more orbital electron(s) by one or more negative muon(s). However the present article deals with only those systems where the positively charged nucleus is orbited by two negatively charged- muons. Since the early seventies, muonic atoms are used to measure a number of atomic properties including nature and strength of eletron-muon interaction [6]. In the early fifties, muon was assumed to be very useful probe to measure the electromagnetic properties of nuclei [7]. Magnetic hyperfine structure of muonic atoms was studied by Johnson and Sorensen [8]. Isotopic shifts in muonic spectra of isotopes of Ca, Cr, Cu, Mo etc have been measured by Macagno et al [9]. Krutov and Martynenko studied the hyperfine structure of muonic helium atom (μe_2^4He) using perturbation method [10] and also investigated the Lamb-shift in the muonic deuterium (μD)[11]. Bound-state properties and hyperfine-structure splitting in beryllium-muonic ions are determined by Frolov [12] using highly accurate variational wave functions. Flambaum [13] reported the effect of bound muons, pions, kaons etc on the fission barrier and stability of highly charged nuclei. Many new experiments have been proposed in Muon Science Laboratory, RIKEN[14]. Investigation reveals important role of few-body Coulomb system in the cold fusion process (CFP) [15] in which muonic few-body systems experience a strong interplay between nuclear and Coulomb forces involving heavy nuclei such as $(dt\mu)^+$l molecular ion. Furthermore there are large numbers of few-body systems involving anti-matter such as antihydrogen ($\overline{H} = \overline{p}e^+$), muonic antihydrogen ($\overline{H}_\mu = \overline{p}\mu^+$), antiprotonic helium atom ($\overline{p}$+$^{4(3)}$He) [16-17] and many more from modern antimatter physics. Studies on such exotic few-body systems involving particle-antiparticle combination may help in checking the CPT law better than usual systems. For example muonic antihydrogen atom ($\overline{H}_\mu$) could be better choice than antihydrogen ($\overline{H}e^+$) for validating CPT law [18-19]. Physics involved in the reaction and dynamics of muonic helium atom has been extensively discussed by T. J. Stuchi et al [20]. In addition to the experiment on the reactions of muonic helium and muonium with H_2 by Donald G Fleming and Co-workers [21], several others can also be found in the literature. To study the bound state properties of such exotic system, a number of theoretical methods have been reported in the literature [22-28]. We may refer Rodriguez et al [29] who used angular correlated configuration interaction (ACCI) approach to study the lowest lying states of two-electron and electron-muon three-body atomic systems. Their work includes the energy calculation for negatively charged hydrogen-like systems; neutral helium-like systems, and positively charged lithium like systems. And a more precise calculation for these systems has been reported by Smith Jr et al [30] and Frolov et al [31-45]. However, first muonic calculations adopting variational approach was started almost 50 years past by Halpern [46], Carter [47-48] and Delves et al [49]. And about 30 years back Vinitsky *et al* [50] adopted non-variational approach for the muonic molecular ions. The bound D- state in dtu was calculated adopting variational approach by Kamimura in the late eighties [51]. Krivec and Mandelzweig [52] have also reported non-variational calculation for Muonic helium atom (^{4}He$^{2+}\mu^-e^-$) where they obtained energy up to twelve significant digits employing the Correlated Function HH method.

The present article deals with only those systems where the positively charged nucleus is surrounded by two negatively charged- muons. The structural properties of such exotic Coulomb systems can be investigated by treating them as a three-body system consisting of a relatively heavy and positively charged nuclear core plus two valence muons. In support of the present model of study we may state the facts that the electromagnetic interaction is much weaker than the strong interaction, hence muon(s) will not perturb the nucleus to any significant degrees and the nuclear degrees of freedom may be neglected as a first approximation. Again, the fact that the muon mass is much smaller than the

nuclear mass allows us to regard the nucleus as a almost static source of the Coulomb interaction. In one of our previous work [53] we have considered only the ground state of some exotic two-muon atoms and in the present present work we have extended the calculation to some low-lying bound n^1S (n=1 to 6) -states of several exotic two-muon three-body systems hyperspherical harmonics expansion method (HHEM). As discussed in [53], HHEM is a powerful tool for the *ab initio* solution of the few-body Schrödinger equation, for a given set of potentials of interaction among constituent particles. Although the method is notorious for its slow convergence particularly for the Coulomb type long range two-body interaction potential, still it is widely used in solving few-body Schrödinger equation, for a given set of inter-particle interaction potentials [54-55]. For example, we may refer some of the works of Barnea et al., in which they employed HH for the solution of few-body problems during 1990-2011 [56-60]. And, the Pisa group of Rosati et al. [61] has used this method to study the binding mechanism of three- and four-nucleon systems viz triton and helium nucleus. The label scheme in this method for a three-body system involves three possible binary interacting pairs which correspond to three different partitions. In the k^{th} partition the particle labeled as k performs the role of a spectator while the remaining two labeled as i and j form the interacting pair. For the calculation of matrix element of $V(r_{ij})$, the interaction potential of the (ij) pair, it is then convenient to expand the chosen HH in the set of HH corresponding to the partition in which $\vec{r_{ij}}$ is proportional to the first Jacobi vector [62]. To do this we need the transformation coefficients, called Raynal-Revai coefficients (RRC), from one choice of partition to another. Raynal and Revai [63] obtained an expression for these coefficients for a three-body system containing particles of arbitrary masses. In this work, RRC [62-64] has been used in the numerical computation of potential matrix elements of the two-body interactions, involved in the three-body systems consisting of a positively charged nucleus plus two negatively charged muons. The energies calculated for the low-lying bound S-states have been compared with the ones of the literature, dependence of convergence pattern of energies have been checked against increasing i) nuclear charge Z and ii) number of partial waves Λ_m included in the calculation. In Section II, we briefly describe hyperspherical harmonics expansion method and the transformation coefficients between two sets of HH belonging to two different partitions. In Section III, we shall briefly discuss the use of RRC in the calculation of energies for the low-lying bound S-states of helium-like systems consisting of a positively charged core plus two valence muons. The results of calculated observables will be compared to the ones of the literature wherever available.

II HHE Method

For a general three-body system consisting particles of unequal masses m_i, m_j, m_k, the label scheme is shown in Fig.1.

The Jacobi coordinates [69] to describe the relative motion in the partition - "i" are defined as:

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \vec{\xi_i} & = & \left[\frac{m_j m_k M}{m_i (m_j+m_k)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} (\vec{r_j} - \vec{r_k}) \\ \vec{\eta_i} & = & \left[\frac{m_i (m_j+m_k)^2}{m_j m_k M}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\vec{r_i} - \frac{m_j \vec{r_j} + m_k \vec{r_k}}{m_j+m_k}\right) \end{array}\right\} \quad (1)$$

where $M = m_i + m_j + m_k$ and the sign of $\vec{\xi_i}$ is determined by the condition that (i, j, k) should form a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3).

Eq.(1) represents set of Jacobi coordinates which corresponds to the partition, in which, the particle labeled "i" is the spectator and particles labeled "j" and "k" form the interacting pair. In terms of the hyperspherical variables [53]

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl@{\quad;\quad}rcl} \xi_i & = & \rho \cos\phi_i & \eta_i & = & \rho \sin\phi_i \\ \rho & = & \sqrt{\xi_i^2 + \eta_i^2} & \phi_i & = & tan^{-1}(\eta_i/\xi_i) \end{array}\right\} \quad (2)$$



Fig. 1 Choice of Jacobi coordinates in the partition "i" for a general three-body system with particle mass m_i, m_j, m_k respectively.

Figure 1: Label scheme for general three-body system and choice of Jacobi coordinates in the partition "i".

the three-body Schrődinger's equation appears as

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2}+\frac{5}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{\hat{\Lambda}^2(\Omega_i)}{\rho^2}\right\}+V(\rho,\Omega_i)-E\right]\Xi(\rho,\Omega_i) \;=\; 0 \tag{3}$$

in which $\Omega_i \;\rightarrow\; \{\phi_i,\theta_{\xi_i},\phi_{\xi_i},\theta_{\eta_i},\phi_{\eta_i}\}$, $\mu \;=\; \left[\frac{m_i m_j m_k}{M}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an effective mass parameter, $V(\rho,\Omega_i) \;=\; V_{jk}+V_{ki}+V_{ij}$ is the total interaction potential, and square of hyper angular momentum operator $\hat{\Lambda}^2(\Omega_i)$ satisfies the eigenvalue equation [53]

$$\hat{\Lambda}^2(\Omega_i)\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)=\Lambda(\Lambda+4)\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \tag{4}$$

where the eigenfunction $\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)$ is called the hyperspherical harmonics (HH). The normalized HH with specified three-body total orbital angular momentum $L(=|\vec{l_{\xi_i}}+\vec{l_{\eta_i}}|)$ and its projection M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) &\equiv \Theta_{\Lambda l_{\xi_i} l_{\eta_i} LM}(\phi_i,\theta_{\xi_i},\phi_{\xi_i},\theta_{\eta_i},\phi_{\eta_i}) \\ &\equiv {}^{(2)}P_{\Lambda}^{l_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i}}(\phi_i)\left[Y_{l_{\xi_i}m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i},\phi_{\xi_i})Y_{l_{\eta_i}m_{\eta_i}}(\theta_{\eta_i},\phi_{\eta_i})\right]_{LM}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where α_i represents $\{l_{\xi_i},l_{\eta_i},L,M\}$ and $[]_{LM}$ stands for coupling of angular momentum. The hyper-angular momentum quantum number $\Lambda=2n_i+l_{\xi_i}+l_{\eta_i}$, n_i being non-negative integer is not a good quantum number for the three-body system.
For a given partition (say partition "i"), the wave-function $\Xi(\rho,\Omega_i)$ is expanded in the complete set of HH

$$\Xi(\rho,\Omega_i)=\sum_{\Lambda\alpha_i}\rho^{-5/2}F_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\rho)\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \tag{6}$$

Insertion of eq.(6) in eq.(3), use of eq.(4) and application of the ortho-normality of HH, leads to the set of coupled differential equations (CDE) in ρ

$$\begin{array}{rl} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}+\frac{(\Lambda+3/2)(\Lambda+5/2)\hbar^2}{2\mu\rho^2}-E\right]F_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\rho) \\ + \quad \sum_{\Lambda'\alpha_i'} < \Lambda\alpha_i \mid V(\rho,\Omega_i) \mid \Lambda'\alpha_i' > F_{\Lambda'\alpha_i{}'}(\rho) \;=\; 0. \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where

$$< \Lambda\alpha_i|V(\rho,\Omega_i)|\Lambda',\alpha_i' >= \int \Theta^*_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)V(\rho,\Omega_i)\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda'\alpha_i{}'}(\Omega_i)d\Omega_i \tag{8}$$

For central interaction potentials, calculation of the matrix elements of the form $< \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \mid V_{jk}(\xi_i) \mid \mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda'\alpha_i'}(\Omega_i) >$, in the partition "$i$", is straight forward, while the same becomes very complicated for $< \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \mid V_{ij}(\xi_k) \mid \Theta_{\Lambda'\alpha_i{}'}(\Omega_i) >$ or $< \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \mid V_{ki}(\xi_j)|\Theta_{\Lambda'\alpha_i{}'}(\Omega_i) >$ even for central potentials, since ξ_k or ξ_j involves the polar angles $\hat{\xi}_i$ and $\hat{\eta}_i$. From eq.(1), we may write

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \vec{\xi_k} &=& -\cos\sigma_{ki}\vec{\xi_i}+\sin\sigma_{ki}\vec{\eta_i} \\ \vec{\eta_k} &=& -\sin\sigma_{ki}\vec{\xi_i}-\cos\sigma_{ki}\vec{\eta_i} \end{array}\right\} \tag{9}$$

where $\sigma_{ki} = \tan^{-1}\{(-1)^P\sqrt{\frac{Mm_j}{m_im_k}}\}$, P being odd (even) if (kij) is an odd (even) permutation of the triad (1 2 3).

For any arbitrary shape of the central potential with non-zero L, calculation becomes inaccurate and slow, since most of the five dimensional integrals have to be done numerically. However, evaluation of the latter matrix elements can be greatly simplified in the way it is described in [53].

As the complete sets of HH functions $\{\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)\}$, $\{\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_j}(\Omega_j)\}$ or $\{\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_k}(\Omega_k)\}$ span the same five dimensional angular hyperspace, any particular member of a given set, say $\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)$ can be expanded in the complete set of $\{\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_j}(\Omega_j)\}$ through a unitary transformation:

$$\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) = \sum_{\alpha_j} < \alpha_j \mid \alpha_i >_{\Lambda L} \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_j}(\Omega_j) \tag{10}$$

Again, since Λ, L, M are conserved for eq.(10) and there is rotational degeneracy with respect to the quantum number M for spin independent forces, we have

$$< \alpha_j \mid \alpha_i >_{\Lambda L} = < l_{\xi_j}l_{\eta_j} \mid l_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i} >_{\Lambda L} \tag{11}$$

Thus, we can rewrite eq(10) as

$$\Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) = \sum_{l_{\xi_j}l_{\eta_j}} < l_{\xi_j}l_{\eta_j} \mid l_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i} >_{\Lambda L} \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_j}(\Omega_j) \tag{12}$$

The M independent coefficients involved in eq(11) and (12) are called the Raynal-Revai Coefficients (RRC). Using these coefficients, the matrix element of a central interaction V_{ij} becomes

$$\begin{array}{rcl} < \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \mid V_{ij}(\xi_k) \mid \Theta_{\Lambda'\alpha_i{}'}(\Omega_i) > &=& \sum_{l_{\xi_k}{}'l_{\eta_k}'l_{\xi_k}l_{\eta_k}} < l_{\xi_k}l_{\eta_k} \mid l_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i} >^*_{\Lambda L} \\ &\times& < l_{\xi_k}{}'l_{\eta_k}' \mid l_{\xi_i}'l_{\eta_i}' >_{\Lambda' L} \\ &\times& < \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_k}(\Omega_k) \mid V_{ij}(\xi_k) \mid \Theta_{\Lambda'\alpha_k{}'}(\Omega_k) > \end{array} \tag{13}$$

The matrix element on the right side of eq.(13) resembles the matrix element of V_{jk} in the partition "i" and can be calculated in a simple manner. Thus, one can calculate matrix element of V_{ij} easily by computing RRC's involved in eq.(13) using their elaborate expressions from [62-64]. Similar treatment can be applied for the calculation of the matrix element of V_{ki}. At this point we may also refer the analytical calculation of matrix elements of the effective potential in correlation function HH method by Krivec and Mandelzweig [65].

III Application to Coulomb three-body problem: two-muon atoms and ions

We apply HHEM together with the idea of Raynal-Revai Coefficients to the bound S-states of three-body Coulomb systems consisting a positively charged nucleus of arbitrary Z (≤ 54) plus two negatively charged muons (μ^-). We assign the label "i" to the nucleus (of mass m_N and charge +Ze), and labels "j" and "k" to two muons (of mass $m_j = m_k = m$ and charge -e) respectively. Jacobi coordinates of eq(1) in the partition "i", for this particular choice of masses becomes

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \vec{\xi_i} &=& \beta_i(\vec{r_j} - \vec{r_k}) \\ \vec{\eta_i} &=& \frac{1}{\beta_i}(r_i - \frac{\vec{r_j}+\vec{r_k}}{2}) \end{array}\right\} \tag{14}$$

where we relate $\beta_i = \left[\frac{m_N+2m}{4m_N}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ to the system effective mass μ in the way

$$\mu \;=\; m\left(\frac{m_N}{m_N+2m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \;=\; \frac{m}{2\beta_i^2} \tag{15}$$

In muon atomic units (i.e., $\hbar^2$=m_μ=m=e^2=1) eq(7) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[-\beta_i^2\left\{\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} - \frac{(\Lambda+3/2)(\Lambda+5/2)}{\rho^2}\right\} - E\right] F_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\rho) \\ + \sum_{\Lambda'\alpha_i'} < \Lambda\alpha_i \mid \frac{\gamma_i}{\rho cos\phi_i} - \frac{Z}{\rho\left|\gamma_i sin\phi_i \;\; \hat{\eta_i} - \frac{1}{2\gamma_i}cos\phi_i\hat{\xi_i}\right|} \\ - \frac{Z}{\rho\left|\gamma_i sin\phi_i\hat{\eta_i} + \frac{1}{2\gamma_i}cos\phi_i\hat{\xi_i}\right|} \mid \Lambda'\alpha_i' > F_{\Lambda'\alpha_i'}(\rho) \end{array} \;=\; 0 \tag{16}$$

The mass of the particles involved in this work can be found in [53,66-67] and the energies presented in [1] in atomic unit (a.u.) have been converted to muon atomic unit (m.a.u.) following the conversion relation $1\,m.a.u. = 206.7682838\,a.u.$, taking muon mass $m_\mu = 206.7682838 m_e$ provided by Mohr and Taylor [68]. Calculation of potential matrix elements of muon-nucleus Coulomb interactions V_{ij} and V_{ki} in the partition "i" are greatly simplified by the use of RRC, following- prescriptions of previous section and the same described in our previous work [53]. For two-muon three-body systems,

$$\beta_j \;=\; \beta_k \;=\; \left[1 - \frac{m^2}{(m_N+m)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{17}$$

and for heavy nucleus, $m_N \gg m$, $\mu \approx m$, $\gamma_i \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\beta_j = \beta_k \simeq 1$.

In eq(6), we expand the three-body relative wave function in the complete set HH appropriate to the partition "i". For the bound S-states of two-muon systems, the total orbital angular momentum, L=0 and the spin part of the total wave function for two-muons is anti-symmetric. Again, since $L = 0$, $l_{\xi_i} = l_{\eta_i}$. So, the set of quantum numbers represented by α_i is $\{l_{\xi_i}, l_{\xi_i}, 0, 0\}$. Thus, the quantum numbers $\{\Lambda\alpha_i\}$ can be represented by $\{\Lambda l_{\xi_i}\}$ only. Furthermore, since the space part of the wave function must be symmetric, under the exchange of the two muons, only even values of l_{ξ_i} ($\leq \Lambda/2$) are allowed. Corresponding HH can then be written as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Theta_{\Lambda\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) &\equiv& \Theta_{\Lambda l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i} 00}(\Omega_i) \\ &=& {}^{(2)}P_\Lambda^{l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i}}(\phi_i)\left[Y_{l_{\xi_i} m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i},\phi_{\xi_i}) Y_{l_{\xi_i} - m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i},\phi_{\xi_i})\right]_{00} \\ && (\Lambda \; even \; and \; l_{\xi_i} \;=\; 0, 2, 4, \ldots, \Lambda/2)\,. \end{array} \tag{18}$$

The matrix element of the muon-muon repulsion term in our chosen partition "i", is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} < \Lambda' l_{\xi_i}' | \frac{\gamma_i}{\rho \; cos\phi_i} | \Lambda l_{\xi_i} > &=& \frac{\gamma_i}{\rho}\delta_{l_{\xi_i}', l_{\xi_i}} \int_0^{\pi/2} {}^{(2)}P_{\Lambda'}{}^{l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i}}(\phi) \\ && \times {}^{(2)}P_\Lambda{}^{l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i}}(\phi) \sin^2\phi \;\; \cos \;\; \phi \; d\phi \end{array} \tag{19}$$

in which the suffix i on ϕ has been dropped deliberately, since ϕ is only a variable of integration. In the same way, the matrix element of the third term (i.e. the muon-nucleus attraction term) in the partition "k" is

$$\begin{aligned} < \Lambda' l'_{\xi_k} \mid \tfrac{\gamma_k}{\rho cos\phi_k} \mid \Lambda l_{\xi_k} > \;\; = \;\; & \tfrac{\gamma_k}{\rho}\delta_{l'_{\xi_k},l_{\xi_k}} \int_0^{\pi/2} {}^{(2)}P_{\Lambda'}{}^{l_{\xi_k} l_{\xi_k}}(\phi) \\ & \times {}^{(2)}P_{\Lambda}{}^{l_{\xi_k} l_{\xi_k}}(\phi) \sin^2\phi \cos\ \phi\ d\phi \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

An identical relation holds for the matrix element of the last term of eq.(16) in the partition "j" [53]. Eqs.(19) and (20) show that the matrix elements are essentially the same in their respective partitions, although l_{ξ_k} and l_{ξ_j} are not restricted to only even integer values. Each involves only a single, one dimensional integral to be performed numerically. Using eq.(13), matrix elements of the third and fourth terms of eq. (16) in our chosen partition (i.e., partition "i") become

$$\begin{aligned} < \Lambda' l'_{\xi_i} \mid \tfrac{Z}{r_{ij}} \mid \Lambda l_{\xi_i} > \;\; = \;\; & \textstyle\sum_{l_{\xi_k}} < l_{\xi_k} l_{\xi_k} \mid l'_{\xi_i} l'_{\xi_i} >_{\Lambda' 0} < l_{\xi_k} l_{\xi_k} \mid l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i} >_{\Lambda 0} \\ & < \Lambda' l_{\xi_k} \mid \tfrac{Z\gamma_k}{\rho cos\phi_k} \mid \Lambda l_{\xi_k} > . \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} < \Lambda' l'_{\xi_i} \mid \tfrac{Z}{r_{ik}} \mid \Lambda l_{\xi_i} > \;\; = \;\; & \textstyle\sum_{l_{\xi_j}} < l_{\xi_j} l_{\xi_j} \mid l_{\xi_i}' l'_{\xi_i} >_{\Lambda' 0} < l_{\xi_j} l_{\xi_j} \mid l_{\xi_i} l_{\xi_i} >_{\Lambda 0} \\ & < \Lambda' l_{\xi_j} \mid \tfrac{Z\gamma_j}{\rho cos\phi_j} \mid \Lambda l_{\xi_j} > . \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Sums over l'_{ξ_k} and l'_{ξ_j} respectively in eqs.(21) and (22) have been performed using the Kronecker - δ's in eq.(20) and a similar one with suffix k replaced by suffix j. Thus the evaluation of the matrix elements of <u>all</u> the potential components become practically simple and easy to handle numerically. One of the major drawback of HH expansion method is the slow rate of convergence for long range Coulomb-type interaction potentials, although the rate of convergence for short-range interaction potentials is reasonably fast [69-70]. So, to reach expected degree of convergence, sufficiently large Λ_m value is to be incorporated in the calculation. But, if all Λ values up to a maximum of Λ_m are included in the HH expansion then the number (K) of such basis state function will be given by

$$K = \begin{cases} (\frac{\Lambda_m}{4} + 1)^2 \;\; if \;\; \frac{\Lambda_m}{2} \; is \; even \\ \frac{(\frac{\Lambda_m}{2}+1)(\frac{\Lambda_m}{2}+3)}{4} \;\; if \;\; \frac{\Lambda_m}{2} \; is \; odd. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

From eq.(23), one can easily note that the total number of basis states and hence the size of coupled differential equations (CDE) [eq.(7)] increases rapidly with increase in Λ_m. For instance, one has to solve 625 CDE for $\Lambda_m = 96$ which leads the computation towards instability. The present calculation is performed on a core-i3 based desktop computer which allowed us to solve up to $\Lambda_m = 28$ reliably. The calculated bound state energies (B_{Λ_m}) for values of Λ_m up to 28 are presented in columns 2 - 12 of Table-1 for some low-lying bound S-states of two-muon three-body systems with nucleus of arbitrary charge Z like-$^{\infty}\mathrm{He}^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $^{\infty}\mathrm{Be}^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $^{\infty}\mathrm{C}^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $^{\infty}\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$ and $^{\infty}\mathrm{Ge}^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$. The energies for higher Λ_m values are estimated following an extrapolation scheme prescribed by T. R. Schneider [71] described in great details in [53]. The extrapolated values are presented in column 4 of Table-3 and columns 4 and 8 of Table-4 respectively. In columns 5 & 6 of Table-3 results of some other calculations for the same states wherever available have been listed for comparison with our values The pattern of convergence in the energy of the low-lying bound S-states with respect to increasing Λ_m can be checked by gradually increasing Λ_m values in suitable steps ($d\Lambda$) and comparing the energy difference $\Delta B = B(\Lambda + d\Lambda) - B(\Lambda)$ with that found in the previous step. From the calculated energy data recorded in Table-1, it can be seen that for $\Lambda_m = 28$, energy of the lowest (n=1) bound S-state of $\mathrm{He}^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$ converges up to 3rd decimal places while that of the excited (n=5) bound S-state converged only up to the 1st decimal places. Convergence



Fig.2 Z-dependence of the energy of the low-lying bound S-states. [Data source: Table 3 & 4]

Figure 2: Dependence of the energy (B) of the low-lying bound S-states of two-muon three-body systems on the increase in nuclear charge Z.

trend in the remaining cases also follow the same pattern. Thus, energy of the lowest-lying bound S-states converges faster than the energy of higher excited S-states. Again, for increasing Λ_m, energy of any particular low-lying bound S-state in a relatively lighter system converges faster than the energy of the corresponding state in heavier system. In addition to the above, we may also state that the energy of any particular bound S-state of two-muon system of lower nuclear charge Z, converges faster than the energy of the corresponding bound S-state of two-muon systems of relatively greater nuclear charge Z. For justification of the forgoing remarks we first estimated the difference in energy $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m = 28) - B(\Lambda_m = 24)$ using energy values recorded in columns 2 and 3 of Table-1 for the 1^1S and 5^1S states respectively of $He^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$ and compared them. These estimates are 0.0020 mau and 0.0569 mau respectively. Similar results can also be seen by a simple eye estimation from Fig. 5 drawn for $Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$. And then we estimated $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m = 28) - B(\Lambda_m = 24)$ using data presented in columns 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 of Table-1 for the 1^1S state of $He^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $Be^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $C^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$, $Ne^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$ and $Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ respectively and compared them. These estimates are 0.0020, 0.0062, 0.0128, 0.0336 and 0.3131 m.a.u. respectively. The same results can also be seen from Fig.3 obtained for the 5^1S state of- $He^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=2), $Be^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=4), $C^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=6) and $Ne^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$(Z=10) respectively. In this way we justify our forgoing remarks. Furthermore, it could also be mentioned here that, although, the direct computation of the matrix element of $\frac{1}{r_{ij}}$ in the partition "i" is possible by the method of ref.[61 of 53], it is not possible for potentials other than Coulomb or harmonic type. For an arbitrary shape of interaction potential, a direct computation of the matrix element of the potential will involve five dimensional angular integrations which lead the calculation very time consuming and leaves doors open for inaccuracies to creep in easily. Thus for accurate and faster computation of energy role of RRC in HH method is unique and essential .



Fig.3 Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B=B(\Lambda_m+4)-B(\Lambda_m)$ on the increase in Λ_m for the bound 5^1S state of few two-muon systems of different Z. [Data source: Table-1]

Figure 3: Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m + 4) - B(\Lambda_m)$ on the increase in Λ_m for the bound 5^1S state of two-muon three-body systems with different nuclear charge Z.

The calculated energies of the low-lying bound S-states, of two-muon three-body systems of different nuclear charge Z (but of infinite nuclear mass), have been plotted against Z as shown in Fig. 2 to study the dependence of the bound state energies on the strength of the nuclear charge. The data used for Fig.2 is taken from column 3 of Table -3 and from column 7 of Table-4. And from Fig.2 it can be seen that the energy increases gradually with the increase in the strength nuclear charge Z. From the following empirical eq.(24,) an estimate of the energy of the bound n^1S (n=1 to 6) state two-muon atom with a given Z can be done by an appropriate choice of value the set of parameters $\gamma_t (t = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ listed in Table-6

$$B(Z) \;=\; \sum_{t=0}^{3} \gamma_t Z^t \tag{24}$$

The values of the parameters γ_t listed in Table-6 have been obtained by fitting the calculated energy data of Table-3 & 4 for the bound n^1S (n=1 to 6) states of two-muon three-body systems with nucleus of different charge number (Z). To see the effect of nuclear charge strength on the convergence pattern of the energy of particular bound state we have plotted the difference in binding energies $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m+4)-B(\Lambda_m)$ against Λ_m for the 5^1S state of few two-muon three-body systems with nucleus of different charge number (Z) as a representative case using the calculated data presented in Table-1. From Fig.3, it can be seen that the rate of convergence in energy with respect to increasing Λ_m in the case of $Ne^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$ having nuclear charge Z=10 is slower than that for $He^{2+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=2) or $Be^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=4) or $C^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=4). We have demonstrated the variations of- binding energy $B(\Lambda_m)$ with respect to increasing Λ_m in Fig. 4 and the difference in energy ΔB against Λ_m in Fig. 5 for few low-lying bound S-states of $Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$, as a representative case to study the pattern of convergence in energy of the low-lying bound S-states with respect to Λ_m keeping the nuclear charge Z constant. By comparing, the relative change in height of the bars in Fig. 5, corresponding to different S-states for



Fig.4 Dependence of low-lying bound S-state energies $B(\Lambda_m)$ of $Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ system on the increase in Λ_m assuming the nucleus to be of infinite mass. [Data source: Table-5]

Figure 4: Dependence of energy $B(\Lambda_m)$ of low-lying bound S-states of $^{\infty}Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ three-body system on the increase in Λ_m.



Fig.5 Dependence of energy difference $DB=B(L_m+4)-B(L_m)$ on the increase in L_m for few low-lying bound S-states of $Ge^{32+}\mu\mu$ system. [Data source: Table-5]

Figure 5: Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m+4)-B(\Lambda_m)$ on the increase in Λ_m for the low-lying bound S-states of $^{\infty}Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ three-body system.

increasing Λ_m, it can be stated that energy obtained for the lowest-lying bound S-states converges faster than the energy for higher excited S-states. In other words, energy of the 1^1S bound state tends towards convergence faster than that of the 2-7^{1}S bound states or energy of the 2^1S bound state tends towards convergence better than that of the 3-7^{1}S states and so on. And finally, in Table-3, the energies of the low-lying bound S-states of several two-muon three-body systems calculated by an exact numerical solution of the coupled differential equation by the renormalized Numerov method [72] using RRC have been compared with the ones of the literature.

IV Conclusion

In conclusion, we note that for systems with inter-particle interaction other than Coulomb or harmonic, use of RRC in HHE method becomes essential for the solution of the three-body Schrődinger equation. Hence these coefficients are found to be of utmost importance for any type of interaction involved in three-body calculation. Further, the calculated energy for the low-lying bound S-state at $\Lambda_m = 28$ listed in column 3 of Table-3 in almost all cases are smaller than the corresponding values listed in column 5 & 6 respectively. This is because of the eventual truncation of expansion basis to a maximum value of Λ up to $\Lambda_m = 28$ due to computer memory limitation. However, to get the solution at $\Lambda_m > 28$, one may extrapolate the calculated energy values for $\Lambda_m = 0, 4, 8, ..., 28$ following the procedure described in [53]. The extrapolated energies for $\Lambda_m > 28$ in steps of 4 have been presented in Table-2 for some representative cases. The extrapolated energy values (at $\Lambda_m = \Lambda_M$, a sufficiently large value) are listed in bold in column 4 of Table-3 and in columns 4 & 8 of Table-4 in bold. The extrapolated energies agree fairly with the corresponding exact values. For example, our calculated energy for the 1^1S bound state of $^3H^{1+}\mu^-\mu^-$ differs only by 0.1% from those of Ancarani et al [1-2] and Frolov et al [73]. Again, since RRC's are independent of r, may be calculated once only and stored, resulting in an efficient and highly economical numerical computation. Finally, the present method being so simple, precise and highly effective for the description of two-muon three-body systems, can also be applied to more complex atomic and nuclear many-body systems.

The author acknowledges Aliah University for providing computer facilities.

V References

References

[1] Ancarani L. U. , Rodriguez K. V. and Gasaneo G.:Ground and excited states for exotic three-body atomic systems. In: EPJ Web of Conferences 3, 02009(2010).

[2] Ancarani L. U. , Rodriguez K. V. and Gasaneo G.: :Correlated $^{1,3}S$ States for Coulomb Three-Body Systems. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 111, 4255 (2011) [and references therein].

[3] Born. M. and Oppenheimer R.:Connecting the quantum theory of molecules. Ann. Phys. Lpz. 84, 457(1927).

[4] Borie, M.: The energy levels of muonic atoms. Rev. Mod. Phys.54, 67(1982).

[5] Richard I. L.: One-dimensional muonic helium atom. Am. J. Phys.50, 562(1982).

[6] Ludwig Bergmann, Clemens Shaefer and Wilhelm Ralth Berlin, *Constituents of Matter: Atoms and Molecules, Nuclei and Particles*, Walter de Gruyter, 1997, ISBN 3110139909.

[7] Cole, R. K. Jr.: Nuclear-Polarization Corrections to the Levels of Muonic Atoms. Phys. Rev. 177, 177(1969).

[8] Johnson, J. and Sorensen, A. R.: Magnetic Hyperfine Structure of Muonic Atoms. Phys. Rev. C2, 102(1970).

[9] Macagno, E. R. et al.:Muonic Atoms. II. Isotope Shifts. Phys. Rev. C1, 1202(1970).

[10] Krutov, A. A. and Martynenko, A. P.: Ground-state structure of the muonic helium atom. Phys. Rev. A78, 032513(2008).

[11] Krutov, A. A. and Martynenko, A. P.: Lamb-shift in the muonic deuterium atom. Phys. Rev. A84 ,052514(2011). ""

[12] Frolov, A. M.: Hyperfine-structure splitting in beryllium-muonic ions and atoms. Phys. Rev. A74, 022508(2006).

[13] Flambaum, V. V.:Muonic atoms with extreme nuclear charge. Phys. Rev. A77, 014501(2008).

[14] Strasser, Patrick.: Radioactive Muonic Atom Studies with Intense Muon Beams. In: *Proceed. 5th Int. Workshop on Neutrino Factories & Super beams 2003 (NuFact03), Colombia Univ., New York, June 5-11, 2003.*

[15] Nagamine K. and Ponomerev L.:Recent progress in muon catalyzed fusion. Nucl. Phys. A721, 863c (2003).

[16] Yamazaki T., Morita N., Hayano R S, Widmann E and Eades J.:Delayed annihilation of antiprotons in helium gas. Phys. Rep. 366, 183(2002).

[17] Hayano R S, Hori M and Horvath D.:Antiprotonic helium and CPT invariance. Rep. Prog. Phys. 70 (1995).

[18] Nagamine K.: Muonic anti-hydrogen formation and test of CPT theorem. Introductory Muon Science (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)2003.

[19] Nagamine K.:Muonic anti-hydrogen formation and test of CPT theorem. AIP Conf. Proc. 793, 159(2005).

[20] Sutchi T J, Antunes A C B and Andreu M A .:Muonic helium atom as a classical three-body problem. Phys. Rev. E62 7831(2000).

[21] Donald G F, Donald J A, Jess H B, Steven L M, George C S, Bruce C G, Kirk A P and Donald G T.: Kinetic Isotope Effects for the Reactions of Muonic Helium and Muonium with H_2. Science 331, 6616, 448 (2011).

[22] Renat A. Sultanov and Dennis Guster.: Integraldifferential equations approach to atomic three-body systems. Jour. of Computational Physics 192, 1 (2003) 231.

[23] Chen Z. and Lin C. D.: Classification of Coulomb three-body systems in hyperspherical co-ordinates. Phys. Rev. A42, 1 (1990) 18.

[24] Yalcin Z. and Simsek M.: Potential harmonic approximation in atomic three-body systems with Fues-Kratzer -type potential. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 88, 6 (2002) 735.

[25] Kleindienst, L. and Emrich, R.: The atomic three-body problem. An accurate lower bond calculation using wave functions with logarithmic terms. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 37, 3 (1990) 257.

[26] Baklanov, E. V.: Ground State of Negative Positronium Ion within the Framework of the Non-relativistic Three-Body Problem. Laser Physics, 7, 4 (1997) 970.

[27] Dodd L. R.: Faddeev approach to atomic three-body problems. Phys. Rev. A9, 4 (1974) 637.

[28] Harris Frank E.: Current Studies of Few-Electron Systems. Lecture Series on Computer and computational Sciences, 1 (2006) 1.

[29] Rodriguez, K. V., Ancarani, L.U., Gasaneo, G. and Mitnik, D. M.: Ground state for two-electron and electron-muon three-body atomic systems. Int. J. Quantum Chem.110, 10 (2010)1820.

[30] Smith Jr, V. H. and Frolov, A. M.: On properties of the helium-muonic and helium- antiprotonic atoms. J. Phys. B28, 7 (1995) 1357.

[31] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Bound state properties and astrophysical applications of negatively charged hydrogen ions. J. Chem. Phys. 119 (2003) 3130.

[32] Frolov, A. M. and Yeremin, A. Yu. J.: Ground bound states in two-electron systems with Z=1. J. Phys. B22 (1989) 1263.

[33] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Universal variational expansion for three-body systems. J. Phys. B28 (1995) L449.

[34] Frolov A. M.: Bound state properties of negatively charged hydrogen like ions. Phys. Rev. A58 (1998) 4479.

[35] Frolov, A. M.: Properties and hyperfine structure of helium-muonic atoms. Phys. Rev. A61 (2000) 022509.

[36] Frolov, A. M.: Calculations of the $1s_\mu 2s_e$-electron-excited $S(L = 0)$ states in helium-muonic atoms. Phys. Rev. A65 (2002) 024701.

[37] Frolov A. M.: Lowest order QED corrections for the H^- and Mu^- ions. Phys. Lett. A345 (2005) 173.

[38] Frolov A. M.: Bound state properties and hyperfine splitting in the $S(L = 0)$-states of the lithium-muonic systems. Phys. Lett. A353 (2006) 60.

[39] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Exponential representation in the Coulomb three-body problem. J. Phys. B37 (2004) 2917.

[40] Frolov, A. M.: Variational Expansions for the Three-Body Coulomb Problem. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 92 (1987) 1959.

[41] Frolov, A. M. and Wardlaw David M.: Bound state spectra of three-body muonic molecular ions. Eur. Phys. J. D63, 3 (2011) 339.

[42] Frolov, A. M.: Bound-state properties and muon sticking probabilities for the S(L=0)-states in non-symmetric muonic molecular ions. J. Phys. B34 (2001) 3813.

[43] Frolov, A. M. and Bishop, D. M.: Theory of bound states in the Coulomb three-body system with unit charges. Phys. Rev. A45 (1992) 6236.

[44] Frolov, A. M.*et al.*: Accurate solution method in the three-body problem and binding energies of mesic molecules. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 39 (1984) 449 [Sov. Phys. JETP. Lett. 39 (1984) 544].

[45] Frolov, A. M., Vedene, H. Smith and David, M. Bishop: Bound P States (L=1) and D States (L=2) of three-body muonic molecular ions. Phys. Rev. A49 (1994) 1686.

[46] Halpern, A.: $(p\mu p)^+$ Molecule; Muon Capture in Hydrogen. Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 660.

[47] Carter, B. P.: Muonic molecules and Nucleon-Deuteron Capture. Phys. Rev. 141 (1966) 863.

[48] Carter, B. P.: Variational calculation on muonic molecules. Phys. Rev. 165 (1968) 139.

[49] Delves, L. M. and Kalotas, T.: Ground State of $(p-\mu-p)^+$ molecule ion. Australian J. Phys. 21 (1968) 1.

[50] Vinitskii, S. I., Melezhik, V. S., Ponomarev, L. I., Puzynin, I. V., Puzynina,T. P., Somov, L. N. and Truskova, N. F.: Calculation of the energy levels of μ-mesic molecules of hydrogen isotopes in the adiabatic representation of the three-body problem. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 79 (1980) 698 [Sov. Phys. JETP 52 (1980) 353].

[51] Kamimura, M.: Non Adiabatic Coupled-rearrangement-channel approach to muonic molecules. Phys. Rev. A38 (1988) 621.

[52] Krivec, R. and Mandelzweig, V. B.: Non-variational calculation of the hyperfine splitting and other properties of the ground state of muonic helium atom. Phys. Rev.A56 (1997) 3614.

[53] Khan, Md. A.: Hyperspherical three-body calculation for muonic atoms. Eur. Phys. Jour. D66, 83 (2012).

[54] Khan, Md. A.: Hyperspherical Three-Body Calculation for Exotic Atoms. Few-Body Syst. 52, 53 (2012).

[55] Khan, Md. A.: Low-Lying S-states of Two-Electron Systems. Few-Body Syst. Published online: 04 April 2014. [DOI: 10.1007/s00601-014-0881-8].

[56] Barnea, N. and Mandelzweig, V. B.: Matrix elements of potentials for L=1 hyperspherical states. Phys. Rev.A41 (1990) 5209.

[57] Barnea, N., Leidenmann, W. and Orlandini, G.: On the Accuracy of Hyperspherical Harmonics Approach to Photonuclear Reactions. Few-Body Syst. 39 (2006) 1.

[58] Barnea, N., Leidenmann, W. and Orlandini, G.: Hyperspherical effective interaction for nonlocal potential. Phys. Rev.C81 (2010) 064001.

[59] Barnea, N., Leidenmann, W. and Orlandini, G.: State dependent effective interaction for the hyperspherical formalism. Phys. Rev.C61 (2000) 054001.

[60] Orlandini, G., Barnea, N. and Leidemann, W.: The effective interaction hyperspherical harmonics for non-local potentials. Journal of Phys:Conference Series 312 (2011) 092049.

[61] Rosati, S., Viviani, M. and Kievsky, A.: Correlated Hyperspherical-Harmonic Expansion for Three-Nucleon Systems. Few-Body System. 9 (1990) 1.

[62] Khan, Md. Abdul, Dutta, S. K. and Das, T. K.: Computation of Raynal-Revai Coefficients for the hyperspherical approach to a three-body system. FIZIKA B (Zagreb) 8, 4 (1999) 469.

[63] Raynal, J. and Revai, J.: Transformation coefficients in the hyperspherical approach to the three-body problem. Il Nuo. Cim. A68, 4 (1970) 612.

[64] Youping, G., Fuqing, L. and Lim, T. K.: Program to calculate Raynal-Revai coefficients of a three-body system in two or three dimensions. Comp. Phys. Comm. 47 (1987) 149.

[65] Krivec, R. and Mandelzweig, V. B.: Matrix elements of potentials in the correlation-function hyperspherical harmonic method. Phys. Rev. A42 (1990) 3779.

[66] Cohen, E.R. and Taylor, B.N.: CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants. Phys. Today 51, 8 (1998) BG9.

[67] Cohen, E.R. and Taylor, B.N.: CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants. Phys. Today 53, 8 (2000) BG11.

[68] Mohr, Peter J. and Taylor, Barry N.: The Fundamental Physical Constants - Recommended values of the basic constants and conversion values, from the 1998 adjustment. Phys. Today 55, 8 (2002) BG6.

[69] Beiner, M., Fabre de la Ripelle, M.: Convergence of the hyperspherical formalism applied to the trinucleons. Lett. Nuvo Cim. 1, 14 (1971) 584.

[70] Ballot, J. L. and Fabre de la Ripelle, M.: Application of the hyperspherical formalism to the trinucleon bound state problems. Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 127 (1980) 62.

[71] Schneider, T. R.: Convergence of generalized spherical harmonic expansion in the three-nucleon bound state. Phys. Lett. 40B,4 (1972) 439.

[72] Johnson, B. R.: The renormalized Numerov method applied to calculating bound states of the coupled-channel Schroedinger equation. J. Chem. Phys. 69 (1978) 4678.

[73] A. M. Frolov, V. H. Smith Jr and J. Komosa.: On the algebraic solution of non-relativistic three-body problem: bound states. J. Phys. A26 (1993) 6507.

Table-1. Pattern of convergence of energy calculated for the low-lying bound S-states in two-muon three-body systems with infinitely heavy nucleus for increasing Λ_m.

	Bound state energy, B ($=B_{\Lambda_m}$ at $\Lambda=\Lambda_m$) in muon atomic unit (m.a.u.) in the n^1S state of N$\mu^-\mu^-$ with nucleus N:										
Λ_m	∞He$^{2+}_{1^1S}$	∞He$^{2+}_{5^1S}$	∞Be$^{4+}_{1^1S}$	∞Be$^{4+}_{5^1S}$	∞C$^{6+}_{1^1S}$	∞C$^{6+}_{5^1S}$	∞Ne$^{10+}_{1^1S}$	∞Ne$^{10+}_{5^1S}$	∞Ge$^{32+}_{1^1S}$	∞Ge$^{32+}_{4^1S}$	∞Ge$^{32+}_{6^1S}$
0	2.5000	0.2992	12.2620	1.8140	29.4039	04.3497	85.8292	12.6961	0935.0070	192.7639	103.4429
4	2.7844	0.5783	13.2479	2.8443	31.5330	06.6726	91.5743	19.1609	0992.1403	266.6499	161.6256
8	2.8562	0.8024	13.4821	3.7361	32.0419	08.6855	92.9513	24.7617	1005.6409	326.4244	214.9974
12	2.8760	0.9710	13.5683	4.4338	32.2253	10.2576	93.4390	29.1314	1010.3062	369.8567	258.9279
16	2.8875	1.0980	13.6056	4.9774	32.3041	11.4791	93.6479	32.5196	1012.2907	401.6833	294.1231
20	2.8936	1.1943	13.6245	5.4071	32.3437	12.4421	93.7519	35.1850	1013.2681	425.5881	322.6791
24	2.8970	1.2681	13.6356	5.7526	32.3653	13.2143	93.8086	37.3179	1013.7997	443.9859	346.1072
28	2.8990	1.3250	13.6418	6.0349	32.3781	13.8437	93.8422	39.0526	1014.1128	458.4522	365.5627

VI Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Choice of the Jacobi coordinates in the partition "i" for a general three-body system.

Fig. 2. Dependence of the energy, B($\Lambda_m = 28$) of the low-lying bound S-states on the increase in nuclear charge Z.

Fig. 3. Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m+4) - B(\Lambda_m)$ on the increase in Λ_m for the bound 5^1S state of two-muon three-body system with core of different nuclear charge Z.

Fig. 4. Dependence of the energy B(Λ_m) on the increase in Λ_m for few low-lying bound S-states of Ge$^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=32) three-body system.

Fig. 5. Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(\Lambda_m + 4) - B(\Lambda_m)$ on the increase in Λ_m for few low-lying bound S-states of Ge$^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$ (Z=32) three-body system.

VII Tables

Table-2. Pattern of convergence of energy calculated for the low-lying bound S-states in two-muon three-body systems with infinitely heavy nucleus for increasing Λ_m.

	BE ($=B_{\Lambda_m}$ at $\Lambda=\Lambda_m$) in muon atomic unit (m.a.u.) in the n^1S state of N$\mu^-\mu^-$ with core N:										
Λ_m	$^{\infty}\mathrm{He}^{2+}_{1^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{He}^{2+}_{5^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Be}^{4+}_{1^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Be}^{4+}_{5^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{C}^{6+}_{1^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{C}^{6+}_{5^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}_{1^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}_{5^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Ge}^{32+}_{1^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Ge}^{32+}_{4^1S}$	$^{\infty}\mathrm{Ge}^{32+}_{6^1S}$
32	2.9003	1.3719	13.6458	6.2738	32.3865	14.3759	93.8640	40.5195	1014.3157	470.2364	382.5157
36	2.9011	1.4109	13.6486	6.4736	32.3920	14.8208	93.8785	41.7442	1014.4502	479.7773	396.9926
40	2.9017	1.4437	13.6504	6.6422	32.3958	15.1956	93.8884	42.7748	1014.5429	487.5843	409.4294
44	2.9021	1.4715	13.6518	6.7854	32.3985	15.5135	93.8956	43.6483	1014.6088	494.0339	420.1730
48	2.9024	1.4951	13.6528	6.9077	32.4005	15.7849	93.9008	44.3934	1014.6569	499.4087	429.5022
52	2.9026	1.5154	13.6535	7.0129	32.4020	16.0180	93.9047	45.0330	1014.6929	503.9236	437.6421
56	2.9028	1.5330	13.6541	7.1038	32.4032	16.2194	93.9076	45.5845	1014.7204	507.7438	444.7765
60	2.9029	1.5481	13.6545	7.1829	32.4040	16.3942	93.9099	46.0639	1014.7416	510.9981	451.0558
64	2.9031	1.5613	13.6548	7.2519	32.4047	16.5467	93.9118	46.4815	1014.7584	513.7873	456.6044
68	2.9031	1.5728	13.6551	7.3124	32.4053	16.6804	93.9132	46.8473	1014.7718	516.1917	461.5256
72	2.9032	1.5830	13.6553	7.3657	32.4057	16.7981	93.9144	47.1690	1014.7826	518.2755	465.9057
76	2.9033	1.5919	13.6555	7.4128	32.4061	16.9020	93.9153	47.4531	1014.7914	520.0903	469.8169
80	2.9033	1.5999	13.6557	7.4546	32.4064	16.9942	93.9161	47.7049	1014.7987	521.6781	473.3204
84	2.9034	1.6069	13.6558	7.4918	32.4067	17.0762	93.9168	47.9289	1014.8047	523.0735	476.4679
88	2.9034	1.6132	13.6559	7.5251	32.4069	17.1495	93.9173	48.1289	1014.8098	524.305	479.3036
92	2.9034	1.6189	13.6560	7.5549	32.4071	17.2151	93.9178	48.3079	1014.8141	525.3949	481.8651
96	2.9034	1.6239	13.6561	7.5817	32.4072	17.2740	93.9182	48.4686	1014.8177	526.3640	484.1848
100	2.9035	1.6285	13.6561	7.6058	32.4073	17.3271	93.9185	48.6134	1014.8209	527.2283	486.2905
104	2.9035	1.6326	13.6562	7.6276	32.4075	17.3751	93.9188	48.7441	1014.8236	528.0016	488.2063
108	2.9035	1.6363	13.6562	7.6474	32.4076	17.4185	93.9191	48.8624	1014.8259	528.6955	489.9532
112	2.9035	1.6396	13.6563	7.6654	32.4076	17.4579	93.9193	48.9698	1014.8279	529.3201	491.5494
116	2.9035	1.6427	13.6563	7.6817	32.4077	17.4938	93.9195	49.0675	1014.8297	529.8836	493.0109
120	2.9035	1.6455	13.6564	7.6966	32.4078	17.5265	93.9197	49.1565	1014.8312	530.3934	494.3514
124	2.9035	1.6480	13.6564	7.7102	32.4078	17.5563	93.9198	49.2378	1014.8326	530.8558	495.5833
128	2.9035	1.6504	13.6564	7.7227	32.4079	17.5837	93.9200	49.3122	1014.8338	531.2762	496.7174
132	2.9036	1.6525	13.6564	7.7341	32.4079	17.6088	93.9201	49.3804	1014.8349	531.6591	497.7632
136	2.9036	1.6545	13.6564	7.7446	32.4080	17.6318	93.9202	49.4431	1014.8359	532.0089	498.7290
140	2.9036	1.6563	13.6565	7.7543	32.4801	17.6531	93.9203	49.5008	1014.8367	532.3286	499.6225
144	2.9036	1.6579	13.6565	7.7633	32.4080	17.6726	93.9204	49.5540	1014.8375	532.6218	500.4502
148	2.9036	1.6595	13.6565	7.7715	32.4081	17.6907	93.9204	49.6031	1014.8382	532.8911	501.2181
152	2.9036	1.6609	13.6565	7.7791	32.4081	17.7074	93.9205	49.6486	1014.8388	533.1389	501.9315
156	2.9036	1.6622	13.6565	7.7862	32.4081	17.7229	93.9206	49.6906	1014.8394	533.3670	502.5952
160	2.9036	1.6634	13.6565	7.7928	32.4081	17.7373	93.9206	49.7297	1014.8399	533.5777	503.2134
164	2.9036	1.6646	13.6565	7.7989	32.4082	17.7506	93.9207	49.7659	1014.8404	533.7725	503.7899
168	2.9036	1.6656	13.6566	7.8046	32.4082	17.7630	93.9207	49.7996	1014.8408	533.9528	504.3282
172	2.9036	1.6666	13.6566	7.8099	32.4082	17.7746	93.9208	49.8310	1014.8412	534.1200	504.8314
176	2.9036	1.6675	13.6566	7.8148	32.4082	17.7854	93.9208	49.8603	1014.8415	534.2753	505.3024
180	2.9036	1.6684	13.6566	7.8194	32.4082	17.7955	93.9208	49.8876	1014.8418	534.4197	505.7436
184	2.9036	1.6692	13.6566	7.8237	32.4082	17.8049	93.9209	49.9132	1014.8421	534.5541	506.1573
188	2.9036	1.6699	13.6566	7.8277	32.4082	17.8137	93.9209	49.9371	1014.8424	534.6794	506.5458
192	2.9036	1.6706	13.6566	7.8315	32.4083	17.8219	93.9209	49.9595	1014.8427	534.7963	506.9109
196	2.9036	1.6713	13.6566	7.8351	32.4083	17.8297	93.9209	49.9804	1014.8429	534.9056	507.2542
200	2.9036	1.6719	13.6566	7.8384	32.4083	17.8369	93.9210	50.0001	1014.8431	535.0078	507.5775
204	2.9036	1.6724	13.6566	7.8415	32.4083	17.8438	93.9210	50.0187	1014.8433	535.1035	507.8821
208	2.9036	1.6730	13.6566	7.8444	32.4083	17.8502	93.9210	50.0361	1014.8435	535.1932	508.1694
212	2.9036	1.6735	13.6566	7.8472	32.4083	17.8562	93.9210	50.0525	1014.8437	535.2774	508.4406
216	2.9036	1.6740	13.6566	7.8498	32.4083	17.8619	93.9210	50.0679	1014.8438	535.3565	508.6968
220	2.9036	1.6744	13.6566	7.8523	32.4083	17.8673	93.9211	50.0825	1014.8440	535.4309	508.9391

Table-3. Comparison of calculated energy for the low-lying bound S-states of two-muon three-body systems with the ones of the literature.

System	State	Bound state energies expressed in muon atomic unit (m.a.u.)			
		Present Calculation		Other Results	
		$B_{\Lambda_m=28}$	$B_{\Lambda_m=\Lambda_M}$	Ref[1-2]	Ref[73]
$^{1}H^{+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	0.46735477	**0.46998956**	*0.47093683*	*0.47186663*
	2^1S	0.35545924	**0.37119584**	-	-
	3^1S	0.20247120	**0.21630250**	-	-
	4^1S	0.01665469	**0.02645864**	-	-
$^{2}H^{+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	0.49390510	**0.49657102**	*0.49718941*	*0.49810300*
	2^1S	0.38354583	**0.40146950**	-	-
	3^1S	0.23613474	**0.25209024**	-	-
	4^1S	0.04930593	**0.06594398**	-	-
$^{3}H^{+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	0.50344776	**0.50613881**	*0.50663960*	*0.50754448*
	2^1S	0.39345193	**0.41232457**	-	-
	3^1S	0.24810205	**0.26501518**	-	-
	4^1S	0.06046861	**0.07270507**	-	-
$^{\infty}H^{+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	0.52379740	**0.52656473**	*0.52686030*	
	2^1S	0.41402136	**0.43528652**	-	-
	3^1S	0.27315517	**0.29245473**	-	-
	4^1S	0.08661911	**0.09985389**	-	-
$^{3}He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	2.78878545	**2.79346866**	*2.79024400*	
	2^1S	1.97550223	**2.05919044**	*2.06728676*	-
	3^1S	1.71968500	**1.9312509**	*1.98620901*	-
	4^1S	1.50294490	**1.79815522**	*1.95287356*	-
	5^1S	1.24794925	**1.54097190**	-	-
$^{4}He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	2.81508427	**2.81978459**	*2.81668000*	-
	2^1S	1.99383842	**2.07829754**	*2.08596390*	-
	3^1S	1.73631922	**1.95020173**	*2.00412688*	-
	4^1S	1.51970869	**1.82076365**	*1.97051576*	-
	5^1S	1.26703166	**1.62458960**	-	-
$^{\infty}He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	2.89900954	**2.90358186**	*2.90107355*	-
	2^1S	2.05144929	**2.14605623**	*2.14532259*	-
	3^1S	1.78764955	**2.00890603**	*2.06098528*	-
	4^1S	1.57062240	**1.89019948**	*2.02652301*	-
	5^1S	1.32504206	**1.68224817**	-	-
$^{6}Li^{3+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	7.13185573	**7.14063441**	*7.13629800*	-
	2^1S	4.79423674	**4.94591157**	*4.94552512*	-
	3^1S	4.13413530	**4.58363159**	*4.64520134*	-
	4^1S	3.65339921	**4.39998451**	*4.53915464*	-
	5^1S	3.26473644	**3.66296332**	-	-
$^{7}Li^{3+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	7.15138919	**7.16018343**	*7.15593300*	-
	2^1S	4.80710867	**4.95919841**	*4.95863104*	-
	3^1S	4.14544926	**4.59621085**	*4.65748995*	-
	4^1S	3.66356880	**4.41233128**	*4.55118210*	-
	5^1S	3.27399613	**4.27540046**	-	-
$^{\infty}Li^{3+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	1^1S	7.27137265	**7.28028376**	*7.27659955*	-
	2^1S	4.88564379	**5.04036937**	*5.03920100*	-
	3^1S	4.21376895	**4.67227404**	*4.73289975*	-
	4^1S	3.72445246	**4.48616767**	*4.62496213*	-
	5^1S	3.32900484	**4.39042199**	-	-

Table-4. Calculated energy of the low-lying bound S-states of two-muon three-body systems where reference values are not available .

BE ($=B_{\Lambda_m}$ at $\Lambda=\Lambda_m$) in muon atomic unit (m.a.u.)							
System	State	$B_{\Lambda_m=28}$	$B_{\Lambda_m=\Lambda_M}$	System	State	$B_{\Lambda_m=28}$	$B_{\Lambda_m=\Lambda_M}$
$^{9}Be^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	13.46836088	**13.48275321**	$^{\infty}Be^{4+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	13.64177142	**13.65664476**
	2^1S	8.83821750			2^1S	8.94960222	**9.19245339**
	3^1S	7.57239016			3^1S	7.66846380	**8.44750096**
	4^1S	6.67577395			4^1S	6.76103019	**8.08196767**
	5^1S	5.95840820			5^1S	6.03494406	**7.89539386**
	6^1S	5.36216959			6^1S	5.43140179	**7.83720103**
$^{10}B^{5+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	21.75983645	**21.78127757**	$^{\infty}B^{5+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	22.01061306	**22.03226776**
	2^1S	14.08507649			2^1S	14.24458102	**14.59474446**
	3^1S	12.01556673			3^1S	12.15246198	**13.33446396**
	4^1S	10.57611993			4^1S	10.69733572	**12.73078665**
	5^1S	9.43102804			5^1S	9.53968706	**12.41799056**
	6^1S	8.48198833			6^1S	8.58016529	**12.31099898**
$^{12}C^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	32.07123946	**32.10120848**	$^{\infty}C^{6+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	32.37814008	**32.40835778**
	2^1S	20.57703042			2^1S	20.77100359	**21.24914880**
	3^1S	17.50000505			3^1S	17.66580282	**19.33526864**
	4^1S	15.38686803			4^1S	15.53337783	**18.43190163**
	5^1S	13.71251849			5^1S	13.84366250	**17.96089243**
	6^1S	12.32751704			6^1S	12.44587479	**17.78810696**
$^{16}O^{8+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	58.69106610	**58.74242738**	$^{\infty}O^{8+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	59.11039341	**59.16209025**
	2^1S	37.25593866			2^1S	37.51901718	**38.31361890**
	3^1S	31.55703793			3^1S	31.78070668	**34.67637674**
	4^1S	27.70765768			4^1S	27.90478889	**32.99157418**
	5^1S	24.67328384			5^1S	24.84941473	**32.10746357**
	6^1S	22.16951745			5^1S	22.32824372	**31.77966747**
$^{20}Ne^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	93.31027811	**93.38881160**	$^{\infty}Ne^{10+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	93.84221663	**93.92117897**
	2^1S	58.86289409			2^1S	59.19521811	**60.38674068**
	3^1S	49.73219582			3^1S	50.01379450	**54.46665222**
	4^1S	43.62792071			4^1S	43.87570707	**51.76123767**
	5^1S	38.83143483			5^1S	39.05257343	**50.33501851**
	6^1S	34.87973178			6^1S	35.07883941	**49.78428221**
$^{28}Si^{14+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	186.58181660	**186.73197776**	$^{\infty}Si^{14+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	187.33991986	**187.49065697**
	2^1S	116.87206982			2^1S	117.34327599	**119.56801338**
	3^1S	98.44274212			3^1S	98.84039229	**107.42053496**
	4^1S	86.27128009			4^1S	86.62050862	**101.93205784**
	5^1S	76.74351154			5^1S	77.05476512	**99.03362906**
	6^1S	68.90786452			6^1S	69.18781295	**97.87364713**
$^{40}Ar^{18+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	312.12923132	**312.37368777**	$^{\infty}Ar^{18+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	313.01778694	**313.26290079**
	2^1S	194.71002127			2^1S	195.26005541	**198.82967402**
	3^1S	163.70716920			3^1S	164.16997440	**178.19874736**
	4^1S	143.38039937			4^1S	143.78629491	**168.95116040**
	5^1S	127.50400701			5^1S	127.86542065	**164.04954287**
	6^1S	114.46081978			6^1S	114.78563676	**162.06610437**
$^{73}Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	1012.48293571	**1013.21422357**	$^{\infty}Ge^{32+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	1014.11284946	**1014.84508035**
	2^1S	625.16157278			2^1S	626.14680929	**636.45151611**
	3^1S	523.30955758			3^1S	524.12890560	**567.25338865**
	4^1S	457.73615497			4^1S	458.45220105	**537.01104413**
	5^1S	406.77091860			5^1S	407.40717276	**521.09516457**
	6^1S	364.99173275			6^1S	365.56269120	**514.59886056**
$^{132}Xe^{54+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	3031.51129859	**3033.28646725**	$^{\infty}Xe^{54+}\mu^-\mu^-$	1^1S	3034.34403549	**3036.12024452**
	2^1S	1834.09223260			2^1S	1835.74140513	**1858.28223928**
	3^1S	1518.77351695			3^1S	1520.11029918	**1631.62069230**
	4^1S	1325.18609219			4^1S	1326.34795821	**1537.18457058**
	5^1S	1176.27706247			5^1S	1177.30693158	**1486.01523381**
	6^1S	1054.70453313			6^1S	1055.62738998	**1432.37654976**
	7^1S	952.71659241			7^1S	953.55000451	**1365.70825884**

Table-5. Pattern of convergence of energy calculated for the low-lying bound S-states of $^{\infty}$Ge $\mu^-\mu^-$ for increasing Λ_m.

	Calculated energy, B(=B_{Λ_m} at $\Lambda = \Lambda_m$) (in muon atomic unit, m.a.u.) for the bound n^1S state of $^{\infty}$Ge $\mu^-\mu^-$ system.						
Λ_m	B(1^1S)	$B2^1S$	B(3^1S)	B(4^1S)	B(5^1S)	B(6^1S)	B(7^1S)
0	935.007025	477.249742	288.344360	192.763931	137.853353	103.442913	80.470732
4	992.140293	546.578558	365.564398	266.649941	204.450067	161.625563	130.571487
8	1005.640886	580.955261	421.434876	326.424415	261.854693	214.997432	180.198265
12	1010.306245	600.025927	458.569924	369.856728	306.547289	258.927922	221.837017
16	1012.290752	611.321603	483.781416	401.683338	341.111126	294.123100	256.612742
20	1013.268082	618.390002	501.538712	425.588085	368.222059	322.679110	285.537726
24	1013.799689	623.011450	514.462456	443.985939	389.857115	346.107219	309.821712
28	1014.112849	626.146809	524.128906	458.452201	407.407173	365.562691	330.379238

Table-6. Values of parameters involved in eq(25) obtained by best fit of calculated energies.

State	Parameters (in muon atomic unit, 1mau=27.12m_μeV=5.6076 KeV)			
	γ_0	γ_1	γ_2	γ_3
1^1S	-0.05809	-0.48651	0.9783	8.52919E-4
2^1S	-0.0091	-0.19528	0.60854	2.82456E-4
3^1S	-0.09375	-0.10071	0.5094	1.77743E-4
4^1S	-0.20682	-0.0404	0.44331	1.83214E-4
5^1S	-0.12444	-0.04807	0.39539	1.27868E-4
6^1S	-0.0575	-0.05354	0.3558	9.15059E-5